UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Floridalma Garcia Castillo Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00123-JTN
	er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 1 rendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings of	Fact
_	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	
_	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
_	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or l	ife imprisonment.
_	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
_	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local convictions.	
_	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the described in finding (1)	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of the described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
·	Alternative Finding	
(1) T	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	prescribed in: .*
	under 16 0.3.0. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by vill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the saf	
_ √ _(1) T	Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	s (B)
(2) T	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	ety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the Reason	ons for Detention
evidence _ 1. Defend 2. Defend	nd that the testimony and information submitted at the detentio a preponderance of the evidence that: ant waived her detention hearing, electing not to contest deterent is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in ant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cour	ntion at this time. any case.
	Part III – Directions Regard	ing Detention
corrections appeal. Th	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gener facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons await e defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to con rt or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person	ing or serving sentences or held in custody pending sult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: ____ June 27, 2017